

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Nyakizinga

Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 0%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score	
A. Func	A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDCs were formed and are functional, minutes of PDCs and list of proposals were submitted but had no budget 2021/22FY it was just a newly created LLG from Mutara Subcounty	0	
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity	The sub-county had not compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling.	0	
	Maximum score is 2				
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	The LLG has no NGOs operating in the subcounty and the CBOs were not involved in raising awareness about PDM	0	
	Maximum score is 6				
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	The activities to be implemented within the Parish were incorporated in the AWPB	0	
	Maximum score is 6				

Maximum score is 6

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

iv. That the LLG budget include investments LLG budget to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0 estabilished

LLG budget
estabilished
Investment priorities
to be financed by the
LLG and other funding
sources

0

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	The LLG did not develop a project profile for the capital investments in the AWPB	0
Maximum score is 6			
The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	NO submission was done	0
Maximum score is 6			
for the current FY:	submitted inputs into the procurement plan	The LLG has no PDU files	0
Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	The LLG budget had prioritized investment corresponding to the DDEG Grant	0
Source Revenue Mobi	lization and Administration		
LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within \pm 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget in 2021/22FY	0
Maximum score is 1			
	'	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget in 2021/22FY	0
	Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 1 Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year but one to last financial year.	Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY, Score 2 or else score 0 Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0 Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0. Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0. Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0. Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 Procurement planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement planning for the current FY: as submission of request for procurement abunding the procurement of all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY: oscore 0 Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY: oscore 0 The LLG has no PDU files The LL

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG was newly gazetted	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and the LLG had no budget in 2021/22FY	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget in 2021/22	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget in 2021/22FY	0
D. Finan	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY	0
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY	0

0

0

0

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised The SAS appraised all staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

his staff

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the provious school.	The SAS appraised all the Primary school head teachers	0
12	Maximum score is 6	primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	The Health Courts in	•
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY	The Health Centre in- charge is appraised by the DHO	0
	Maximum score is 6	(by June 30th) – score 2 or else		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The staff list was	0
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	publicized,staff attendance register and staff performance reports were produced and available.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The attendance	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	register was in place but was not analysed	
E Impola	mentation and Execu	tion		
r. impie				
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY	0
_	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines:	gazetted and had no	0
_	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines:	gazetted and had no	0
_	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	0
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2 The LLG spent the funds as per budget	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	o
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2 The LLG spent the funds as per budget	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2 The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Completion of investments as per annual work plan and	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/- 10%: Score 2	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	o
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2 The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/- 10%: Score 2 Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four):	gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY The LLG was newly gazetted and had no	o

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY	0		
	Maximum score is 2					
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	The grievances handling system is not in place and a complaints log-book	0		
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	The grievance redress mechanisms has not been publicized	0		
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	The LLG has a functional Area Land committee and sets of Minutes in place	0		
H. Basic	H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)					

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20				0
20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports on awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization are not available, had no budget for previous FY	v
	Maximum score is 3			

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

0

0

22 Existence and

Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional functionality of School school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0

Minutes of school management committees are not in place

Monitoring reports

are not available

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0

Reports on awareness campaigns and community mobilization are not available

24

The LLG monitored health service during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous delivery at least twice FY, score 4 or else score 0

Mointoring reports were not available and the LLG has no health facility

25

Existence and Unit Management Committee

Evidence that the LLG have functional functionality of Health Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

Maximum score is 3

No health centre in the sub-county

0

J. Water & Environment Services Management

	26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No request from the SAS was submitted for consideration	0
	27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No monitoring/supervision reports on water and environment was available because The LLG was newly gazetted and had no budget for previous FY	0
	28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	The LLG does not have a functional Water and Sanitation Committees in place	0
	29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	The SAS has no updated lists on water and sanitation facilities in the LLG	0
	K. Urban	Planning and Manag	ement (Applicable to Town Councils and	Divisions only)	
	30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
٠	30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0: 20% in 2022/23 30% in 2023/24		

40% in 2024/25

building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of the (i) If all infrastructure investments physical planning and implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

building control measures as per quidelines

Implementation of the (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named physical planning and streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of the (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional physical planning and Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else

Maximum score 2

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

Maximum score is 3

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

34 Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and

reported

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Reports on production statistics are available but still included in the mother sub county

0

0

0

0

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and

Maximum score is 2

awareness meetings

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Reports on awareness creation, distribution lists and attendance lists are available but still included in the mother sub county

36

35

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Monthly monitoring reports by extension staff and Supervision reports by SAS were available but still included in the mother sub county

37

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Training reports and attendance sheets were available

hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer

Maximum score is 2

The LLG has provided If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and organizations / groups Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Field reports on extension support provided to farmers, Sample farmers and farmer groups were available but still included in the mother sub county